arXiv:1401.3670v1 [cs.DS] 15 Jan 2014

Better Approximation Algorithms for Maximum Asymmetric Traveling Salesman and Shortest Superstring

Katarzyna Paluch
Institute of Computer Science, University of Wrocław
abraka@cs.uni.wroc.pl

Abstract

In the maximum asymmetric traveling salesman problem (Max ATSP) we are given a complete directed graph with nonnegative weights on the edges and we wish to compute a traveling salesman tour of maximum weight. In this paper we give a fast combinatorial $\frac{3}{4}$-approximation algorithm for Max ATSP. It is based on a novel use of *half-edges*, matchings and a new method of edge coloring. (A *half-edge* of edge (u, v) is informally speaking "either a head or a tail of (u, v)".) The current best approximation algorithms for Max ATSP, achieving the approximation guarantee of $\frac{2}{3}$, are due to Kaplan, Lewenstein, Shafrir and Sviridenko and Elbassioni, Paluch, van Zuylen. Using a recent result by Mucha, which states that an α-approximation algorithm for Max ATSP implies a $(2 + \frac{11(1-\alpha)}{9-2\alpha})$-approximation algorithm for the shortest superstring problem (SSP), we obtain also a $(2\frac{11}{30} \approx 2,3667)$-approximation algorithm for SSP, beating the previously best known (having approximation factor equal to $2\frac{11}{23} \approx 2,4782$.)

1 Introduction

In the maximum asymmetric traveling salesman problem (Max ATSP) we are given a complete directed graph $G = (V, E)$ with nonnegative weights on the edges and we wish to compute a traveling salesman tour of maximum weight. The problem is known to be APX-hard [19] and the current best approximation algorithms for it are due to Kaplan, Lewenstein, Shafrir and Sviridenko [9] and Elbassioni, Paluch, van Zuylen [18]. Both of them achieve the approximation ratio of $\frac{2}{3}$, the former is based on linear programming and the other is combinatorial and simpler. Besides being an interesting problem in itself, Max ATSP is also of particular interest because of its applications to a number of related problems. For example, an α-approximation algorithm for Max ATSP implies a $(2 + \frac{11(1-\alpha)}{9-2\alpha})$-approximation algorithm for SSP, which was recently shown by Mucha [16]. The shortest superstring problem is defined as follows. We are given n strings $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$ over a given alphabet $\sum$ and we want to find a shortest string s such that each s_i for $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ is a substring of s. SSP arises in DNA sequencing and data compression. Currently the best approximation algorithm for SSP is due to Mucha [16] and achieves an approximation factor of $2\frac{11}{23}$. Any α-approximation algorithm for Max ATSP implies also an algorithm with the same guarantee for the maximal compression problem defined by Tarhio and Ukkonen [21].

We devise a combinatorial $\frac{3}{4}$-approximation algorithm for Max ATSP, thus proving

Theorem 1 *There exists a $\frac{3}{4}$-approximation algorithm for the maximum tarveling salesman problem.*

Using the result of Mucha [16], we obtain

Corollary 1 *There exists a $2\frac{11}{30}$-approximation algorithm for the shortest superstring problem.*

The approach we have adopted is as follows. We start by computing a maximum weight *cycle cover* C_{max} of G, where a cycle cover C of graph G is defined as a set of directed cycles of G such that each vertex of G belongs to exactly one cycle of C. A maximum weight cycle cover of G can be found in polynomial time by a reduction to maximum weight matching. Let OPT denote the weight of a traveling salesman tour of G of maximum weight. The weight of an edge e will be denoted as $w(e)$ and for any subset E' of edges E by $w(E')$ we will mean $\sum_{e \in E'} w(e)$. Since a traveling salesman tour is a cycle cover of G (consisting of just one cycle), we know that $w(C_{max}) \geq OPT$. By removing the lightest edge from each cycle of C_{max}, we obtain a collection of vertex-disjoint paths, which can be arbitrarily patched to form a tour. Removing the lightest edge from cycle c of length k results in a path of weight at least $\frac{k-1}{k} w(c)$. Since C_{max} may contain cycles of lenth two (2-cycles), in the worst case the obtained tour may have weight equal to $\frac{1}{2} w(C_{max})$. If we could find a maximum weight cycle cover of G without cycles of length two (2-cycles) or three (3-cycles), then we would achieve a $\frac{3}{4}$- approximation, but, unfortunately finding a maximum weight cycle cover without 2-cycles is APX-hard [4].

Since 2- and 3-cycles in a maximum weight cycle cover are an obstacle to getting a $\frac{3}{4}$-approximation, we would like to somehow get rid of them. The way we are going to achieve this is as follows. If C_{max} contains at least one 2-cycle or at least one 3-cycle (a triangle), we compute a a cycle cover of G that does not contain any 2-cycle that already belongs to C_{max} but may contain ***half-edges*** - a half-edge of edge (u, v) is informally speaking "either a head or a tail of (u, v)". Such a cycle cover C_1 is going to be called a ***relaxed cycle cover*** C_1 ***improving*** C_{max}. Also we will ensure that a computed C_1 has weight at least OPT. A similar relaxed cycle cover and half-edges have already been introduced in [18]. Computing C_1 is done via a reduction to a maximum weight perfect matching. In some cases C_1 will suffice to build a traveling salesman tour of weight at least $\frac{3}{4} OPT$. To (try to) extract such a tour from C_1 and C_{max} we build a multigraph G_1 consisting of one copy of C_{max} and two copies of C_1. Each occurrence of an edge e in C_{max} contributes one copy of e to G_1 and each occurrence of e

in C_1 contributes two copies of e to G_1. If C_1 contains only one half-edge of a certain edge e, then C_1 contributes one copy of e to G_1. The number of copies of edge e in G_1 may be equal to up to three. The total weight of edges of G_1 is at least $3OPT$. We would like to divide edges of G_1 into four sets $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_4$ in such a way that each Z_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) is a collection of vertex-disjoint paths. One of the sets $Z_1, \ldots, Z_4$ would then have to have weight at least $\frac{3}{4}OPT$ and by patching it to a tour, we would obtain the desired solution. Dividing edges of G_1 into four sets can be viewed as coloring them with four colors. We can immediately see that we are not able to color (the edges of) G_1 in the way described above if C_1 contains any one of the following: (i) a 2-cycle whose one edge belongs to some cycle of C_{max} , (ii) a triangle that already belongs to C_{max} or a triangle that is oppositely oriented to some triangle of C_{max}, (iii) a triangle whose one edge is contained in some 2-cycle of C_{max} or (iv) a cycle of length 4 such that two of its edges belong to two 2-cycles of C_{max}. In the paper we will show that if C_1 contains neither of the above, then we are able to color G_1 as required.

Otherwise, if C_1 contains one of the cycles that makes it impossible to color G_1 into four colors, we compute another cycle cover C_2 that does not contain: (i) any 2-cycle that already belongs to C_{max}, (ii) any 2-cycle that belongs to C_1 and whose one edge belongs to some cycle of C_{max} , (iii) any triangle t such that both C_{max} and C_1 contain a triangle on the same vertices as t, (iv) a triangle that belongs to C_1 and whose one edge is contained in some 2-cycle of C_{max} or (v) a cycle of length 4 that belongs to C_1 and such that two of its edges belong to two 2-cycles of C_{max} but may contain half-edges. We call such a cycle cover a ***relaxed cycle cover improving*** C_{max} ***and*** C_1**.** We will compute C_2 so that its weight is at least OPT. Computing C_2 is done in a similar spirit to computing C_1 - via a novel reduction to a maximum weight perfect matching.

Once we have cycle covers C_{max}, C_1 and C_2 described above, we build a multigraph G_2 consisting of two copies of each of these cycle covers. The total weight of edges of G_2 is at least $6OPT$. From G_2 we will want to extract a tour of weight at least $\frac{3}{4}OPT$. To this end we will divide edges of G_2 into eight sets $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_8$ in such a way that each Z_i ($1 \leq i \leq 8$) is a collection of vertex-disjoint paths. One of the sets $Z_1, \ldots, Z_8$ will then have to have weight at least $\frac{3}{4}OPT$.

The task of dividing edges of G_1 or G_2 into appropriately four or eight sets can equivalently be phrased as follows: we want to color each edge of G_1 or G_2 with one of four or correspondingly one of eight colors in such a way that each color class consists of vertex-disjoint paths.For coloring both G_1 and G_2 we present a new method, which we think is interesting in its own right. One of the surprisingly simple ideas on which this method is based is as follows: let S be a subset of V and $e = (u, v)$ an edge going into S (i.e. $u \notin S$ and $v \in S$), which is colored with a color k. Then if there exists no edge $e' = (u', v')$ outgoing from S (i.e. such that $u' \in S$ and $v' \notin S$) which is colored k, then e does not belong to any cycle, whose all edges are colored k. Another ingredient of the method are alternating cycles (a notion from matching theory) with certain properties.

Previous and related results The history of approximating the problems of maximum asymmetric traveling salesman and shortest superstring is quite long as prove the following lists of papers [14], [5], [22], [7], [10], [1], [1], [6], [20], [9], [18], [16] and [8], [10] [3], [13], [9], [18].

Other variants of the maximum traveling salesman problem that have been considered are among others: the maximum symmetric traveling salesman problem (MAX TSP), in which the underlying graph is undirected - currently the best known approximation ratio is $\frac{7}{9}$ [**?**], the maximum metric symmetric traveling salesman problem, in which the edge weights satisfy the triangle inequality - the best approximation factor is $\frac{7}{8}$ [11], the maximum asymmetric traveling salesman problem with triangle inequality - the best approximation ratio is $\frac{35}{44}$ [12].

2 New upper bounds - relaxed cycle covers improving C_{max}

Suppose we have computed a maximum weight cycle cover C_{max} of a given complete directed graph $G = (V, E)$. We will say that a cycle $c \in C_{max}$ is ***hard*** if each edge e of c satisfies $w(e) > \frac{1}{4}w(c)$. We are going to call cycles of length i, i.e. consisting of i edges, ***i-cycles***. Also sometimes 3-cycles will be called ***triangles***. Let us notice that only 2-cycles and triangles can be hard. If C_{max} does not contain a hard cycle, then we can easily build a traveling salesman tour of weight at least $\frac{3}{4}w(C_{max}) \geq \frac{3}{4}OPT$. If C_{max} contains at least one hard cycle, we would like to obtain two other cycle covers C_1 and C_2 which may contain ***half-edges*** - a half-edge of edge (u, v) is informally speaking "either a head or a tail of (u, v)".

Below we give the precise definitions of a relaxed cycle cover C_1 improving C_{max} and a relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max} and C_1.

Definition 1 *Given a complete directed graph $G = (V, E)$ with edge weights $w(u, v) \geq 0$ for every $(u, v) \in E$ and a maximum weight cycle cover C_{max} of G, let $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ be the graph obtained from G by replacing each $(u, v) \in E$ by a vertex $x_{(i,j)}$ and two edges $(u, x_{(u,v)})$ and $(x_{(u,v)}, v)$, each with weight $\frac{1}{2}w(u, v)$. Edges $(u, x_{(u,v)}), (x_{(u,v)}, v)$ will be called **half-edges (of** (u, v)**)**. A **relaxed cycle cover improving** C_{max} is a subset $\tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{E}$ such that*

(i) each vertex in V has exactly one outgoing and one incoming half-edge in $\tilde{C}$;

(ii) for each 2-cycle c of C_{max} on vertices u, v $\tilde{C}$ contains at most two half-edges from $\{(u, x_{(u,v)}), (x_{(u,v)}, v), (v, x_{(v,u)}), (x_{(v,u)}, u)\}$. Moreover if $\tilde{C}$ contains one half-edge of (u, v) and one half-edge of (v, u), then one of them is incident with u and the other with v.

Let C_1 be any relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max}.
*A **relaxed cycle cover improving** C_{max} **and** C_1 is a subset $\tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{E}$ such that*

(i) each vertex in V has exactly one outgoing and one incoming half-edge in $\tilde{C}$;

(ii) for each 2-cycle c on vertices u, v such that c either belongs to C_{max} or c belongs to C_1 and one of its edges belongs to some cycle of C_{max} $\tilde{C}$ contains at most two half-edges from $\{(u, x_{(u,v)}), (x_{(u,v)}, v), (v, x_{(v,u)}), (x_{(v,u)}, u)\}$. Moreover if $\tilde{C}$ contains one half-edge of (u, v) and one half-edge of (v, u), then one of them is incident with u and the other with v;

(iii) for each triangle t on vertices p, q, r containing edges $(p, q), (q, r), (r, p)$ such that either (1) $t \in C_{max} \cap C_1$ or (2) $t \in C_{max}$ and C_1 contains a 2-cycle on two vertices of t or (3) $t \in C_1$ and C_{max} contains a 2-cycle on two vertices of t,

$\tilde{C}$ contains at most four and the even number of half-edges of edges of $t \cup t'$, where t' denotes a triangle oppositely oriented to t. Also for any edge (v_1, v_2), where $(v_1, v_2) \in \{(q, p), (r, q), (p, r)\}$, $\tilde{C}$ satisfies the following: if $w(v_1, v_2) > \frac{1}{2}(w(t) - w(v_2, v_1))$, then $\tilde{C}$ does not contain all half-edges from the set $\{(v_1, x_{(v_1,v_2)}), (x_{(v_1,v_2)}, v_2), (v_3, x_{(v_3,v_2)}), (x_{(v_2,v_3)}, v_3)\}$, where v_3 belongs to $\{p, q, r\} \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$;

(iv) let c be a cycle of length 4 containing edges $(p, q), (q, r), (r, s), (s, p)$ and such that c either belongs to C_{max} and C_1 has two 2-cycles that share an edge with c or vice versa. Then for each such c $\tilde{C}$ contains at most six and the even number of half-edges of edges of $c \cup c'$, where c' denotes a 4-cycle oppositely oriented to c.

In graph $\tilde{G}$ each original edge of G is replaced by two half-edges. Since this time on, by saying an edge, we will mean an edge of G and by saying a half-edge we will mean an edge of $\tilde{G}$. Also by saying that an edge (u, v) of G belongs to $\tilde{C}$, we will mean that both half-edges of (u, v) belong to $\tilde{C}$. Condition (ii) in the above definitions ensures that $\tilde{C}$ does not contain certain 2-cycles. The first part of condition (iii) says that $\tilde{C}$ does not contain all the edges of a given triangle or a triangle oppositely oriented. The reason for having the second part of condition (iii), in which we forbid the given subsets of half-edges, is illustrated in Figure 1.

We will say that a cycle c of C_1 is ***problematic*** if it is a 2-cycle that shares an edge with some cycle of C_{max} or it is a triangle or a 4-cycle having properties described in conditions (iii) and (iv) of Definition 1. In an analogous way we define a problematic cycle of C_2.

To compute a relaxed cycle cover C_1 improving C_{max} and a relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max} and C_1 we construct the following undirected graphs $G' = (V', E')$ and $G'' = (V'', E'')$.

First we describe graph G'. For each vertex v of G we add two vertices v_{in}, v_{out} to V'. For each edge $(u, v) \in E$ we add vertices e^1_{uv}, e^2_{uv}, an edge (e^1_{uv}, e^2_{uv}) of weight 0 and edges $(u_{out}, e^1_{uv}), (v_{in}, e^2_{uv})$, each of weight $\frac{1}{2}w((u, v))$. Next we build so-called gadgets.

For each 2-cycle of C_{max} on vertices u and v we add vertices $a_{\{u,v\}}, b_{\{u,v\}}$ and edges $(a_{\{u,v\}}, e^1_{uv})$, $(a_{\{u,v\}}, e^2_{vu}, (b_{\{u,v\}}, e^1_{vu}), (b_{\{u,v\}}, e^2_{uv})$ having weight 0.

Graph G'' is an extension of graph G'. Let C_1 be any relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max}. Additional gadgets contained in G'' are as follows.

For each triangle t on vertices p, q, r containing edges (p, q),
$(q, r), (r, p)$ such that either (1) $t \in C_{max} \cap C_1$ or (2) $t \in C_{max}$ and C_1 contains a 2-cycle on two vertices of t, we add vertices $a_{\{p,q,r\}}, b_{\{p,q,r\}}$ and connect them appropriately to vertices $e^2_{pq}, e^1_{rq}, e^1_{rp}$ and $e^1_{pq}, e^2_{rq}, e^2_{rp}$ via edges of weight 0. The gadget is depicted in Figure 2. Let us notice that it cannot happen that $w((p, r)) > \frac{1}{2}(w((p, q)) + w((q, r))$ and $w((q, p)) > \frac{1}{2}(w((q, r)) + w((r, p))$ and $w((r, q)) > \frac{1}{2}(w((p, q)) + w((r, p))$ because it would mean that triangle consisting of edges $(q, p), (r, q), (p, r)$ has greater weight than t and thus that C_{max} is not a maximum weight cycle cover of G. Therefore w.l.o.g. we can assume that $w((p, r)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(w((p, q)) + w((q, r))$.

For each 4-cycle c such that $c \in C_1$ and C_{max} has two 2-cycles that share an edge with c or $c \in C_{max}$ and C_1 has two 2-cycles that share an edge with c, we add a similar gadget which will enforce that at most six half-edges of edges $c \cup c'$ are present in $\tilde{C}$.

Theorem 2 *Any perfect matching of G' yields a relaxed cycle cover C_1 improving C_{max}. Any perfect matching of G'' yields a relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max} and C_1. A maximum weight perfect matching of G' yields a relaxed cycle cover C_1 improving C_{max} such that $w(C_1) \geq OPT$. A maximum weight perfect matching of G'' yields a relaxed cycle cover C_2 improving C_{max} and C_1 such that $w(C_2) \geq OPT$.*

Proof. First we will show that any perfect matching of G'' yields a relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max} and C_1. Let M be any perfect matching of G''. M defines a set of half-edges $\tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{E}$ of the same weight that satisfies property (i) of Definition 1. Let us now verify that the thus defined set $\tilde{C}$ satifies also the other properties of Definition 1.

Let c be a 2-cycle of G on vertices u, v. Then G' contains vertices $a_{\{u,v\}}, b_{\{u,v\}}$ and the fact that these vertices have to be matched in a perfect matching ensures that $\tilde{C}$ does not contain all four half-edges corresponding to (u, v) and (v, u). If vertices $a_{\{u,v\}}, b_{\{u,v\}}$ are matched so that $a_{\{u,v\}}$ is matched with e^1_{uv} and $b_{\{u,v\}}$ is matched with e^2_{uv}, or $a_{\{u,v\}}$ is matched with e^2_{vu} and $b_{\{u,v\}}$ is matched with e^1_{vu} , then both half-edges of one of the edges $(u, v), (v, u)$ are excluded from $\tilde{C}$ and for the other edge, either both of its half-edges belong to $\tilde{C}$ or both do not belong to $\tilde{C}$. If $a_{\{u,v\}}, b_{\{u,v\}}$ are matched in the other way, then matching M does not contain appropriately either (1) (u_{out}, e^1_{uv}) and (e^1_{vu}, v_{out}) or (2) (u_{in}, e^2_{vu}) and (e^2_{uv}, v_{in}). Therefore condition (ii) is indeed satisfied.

Let t be a triangle containing edges $(p,q),(q,r),(r,p)$ satisfying the properties given in Definition 1. Suppose that $w((r,p)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(w((p,q)) + w((q,r))$. Then the gadget for t in G'' looks as in Figure 2. Each of the pair of vertices $a_{\{p,q\}}, b_{\{p,q\}}$ and $a_{\{r,q\}}, b_{\{r,q\}}$, and $a_{\{p,r\}}, b_{\{p,r\}}$ ensures that exactly two half-edges of edges belonging to corresponding 2-cycles are excluded from $\tilde{C}$. Vertices $a_{\{p,q,r\}}$ and $b_{\{p,q,r\}}$ gurantee that two more half-edges connecting two vertices from the set V_t are excluded from $\tilde{C}$. Therefore $\tilde{C}$ contains at most four half-edges connecting two vertices from the set V_t.

We will prove now that $\tilde{C}$ contains neither all the half-edges from the set $S_1 = \{(r,q),(p,x_{(p,q)}),(x_{(r,p)},p)\}$ nor all the half-edges from the set $S_2 = \{(q,p),(r,x_{(r,p)}),(x_{(q,r)},r)\}$. Let us suppose that $\tilde{C}$ contains all the half-edges from the set S_1. Since edge (r,q) belongs to $\tilde{C}$ vertex $b_{\{p,q,r\}}$ cannot be matched with $e^2_{(r,q)}$. Since both $(p,x_{(p,q)})$ and $(x_{(r,p)},p)$ belong to $\tilde{C}$ vertex $b_{\{p,q,r\}}$ cannot be matched with $e^1_{(p,q)}$ or with $e^2_{(r,p)}$. But $b_{\{p,q,r\}}$ is incident only with vertices $e^2_{(r,q)}, e^1_{(p,q)}, e^2_{(r,p)}$ and these are the only vertices it can be matched with - a contradiction.

Let us now suppose that $\tilde{C}$ contains all the half-edges from the set S_2. Since edge (q,p) belongs to $\tilde{C}$, vertex $b_{\{p,q\}}$ must be matched with $e^2_{(p,q)}$. Since $(x_{(q,r)},r) \in \tilde{C}$ vertex $b_{\{q,r\}}$ must be matched with $e^1_{(r,q)}$. Since $(r,x_{(r,p)}) \in \tilde{C}$ vertex $a_{\{p,q,r\}}$ cannot be matched with $e^1_{(r,p)}$. But $a_{\{p,q,r\}}$ is incident only with $e^2_{(p,q)}, e^1_{(r,q)}, e^1_{(r,p)}$- a contradiction.

It is fairly straightforward to show that each traveling salesman tour T of G corresponds to some perfect matching of G''. It is so because a tour cannot contain a 2-cycle or triangle if the graph G contains at least four vertices. Therefore any maximum weight perfect matching of G'' yields a relaxed cycle cover C_2 improving C_{max} and C_1 such that $w(C_2) \geq OPT$.

The proof for a relaxed cycle cover C_1 improving C_{max} is analogous. □



Figure 1: C_{max} consists of triangle abc and 6-cycle $defghi$. $\tilde{C}$ consists of two 4-cycles $acid$, $efgh$ and two half-edges $(x_{(ab)},b)$ and $(b,x_{(bc)})$. The only edges with positive weight are: $(ab),(bc),(ca),(a,c)$. Thus $w(C_{max}) = w(\tilde{C}) = 3$. Also edge (a,c) is such that $w((a,c)) > \frac{1}{2}(3-1)$. A maximum traveling salesman tour in this graph has weight 2, which means that $\tilde{C}$ is useless in getting an approximation better than $\frac{2}{3}$.



Figure 2: A gadget corresponding to a triangle t on vertices p,q,r such that t consists of edges $(p,q),(q,r),(r,p)$ and $w((p,r)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(w((p,q)) + w((q,r))$. To make the figure more readable vertices $p_{in}, p_{out}, q_{in}, q_{out}, r_{in}, r_{out}$ are drawn twice each. Vertices $a_{\{p,q,r\}}, b_{\{p,q,r\}}$ are connected correspondingly to vertices $e^2_{pq}, e^1_{rq}, e^1_{rp}$ and $e^1_{pq}, e^2_{rq}, e^2_{rp}$. Notice that $a_{\{p,q,r\}}, b_{\{p,q,r\}}$ are connected to vertices on edge (r,q) which does not belong to t.

Let us notice that a relaxed cycle cover C_1 and a relaxed cycle cover C_2 improving C_1 and C_{max} consist either of (1) directed cycles or (2) directed paths such that each one of them begins and ends with a half-edge or both.

We will say that C_1 (C_2) is ***integral*** if for each edge $e \in E$ C_1 (C_2) contains either both half-edges



Figure 3: A gadget corresponding to a 2-cycle on vertices u, v.

of e or none. If C_1 or C_2 is integral, then it corresponds to a cycle cover of G i.e. consists only of directed cycles.

3 $\frac{3}{4}$ - approximation algorithm for Max ATSP

The algorithm starts with computing a maximum weight cycle cover C_{max} of a given graph G. If C_{max} does not contain any hard cycles, we can easily build a tour of weight $\frac{3}{4}OPT$. Otherwise we compute a relaxed cycle cover C_1 improving C_{max} and, if necessary, a relaxed cycle cover C_2 improving C_{max} and C_1. Both of them are such that $w(C_1), w(C_2) \geq OPT$. We compute C_1 and C_2 in the way described in the previous section. We create two multigraphs G_1 and G_2 as described in Introduction. The total weight of all edges of G_1 (corr. G_2) is equal to $w(C_{max} + 2w(C_1)$ (corr. $2(w(C_{max}) + w(C_1) + w(C_2))$), which is at leas t$3OPT$ (corr. $6OPT$.). Next we would like to divide edges of G_1 into four sets $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_4$ in such a way that each Z_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) is a collection of vertex-disjoint paths. If this turns out to be impossible, because C_1 contains a problematic cycle, we will show how to divide edges of G_2 into eight sets $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_8$ in such a way that each Z_i ($1 \leq i \leq 8$) is a collection of vertex-disjoint paths.The task of dividing edges of G_1 or G_2 into appropriately four or eight sets can equivalently be viewed as coloring each edge of G_1 or G_2 with one of four or correspondingly one of eight colors. Let $\mathcal{K}_8$ denote $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$, $\mathcal{K}_4 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $\mathcal{K}_3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Further on we will treat G_1 and G_2 as simple graphs but will require that each edge is colored with an appropriate number of colors equal to the number of its copies in the graph.

We will say that two edges e_1 and e_2 are ***in-coincident*** if there exists a vertex v such that both of them are going into v. (We will also say that e_1 is in-coincident with e_2.) We will say that they are ***out-coincident*** if there exists a vertex v such that both of them are outgoing from v. Two edges are ***coincident*** if they are in-coincident or out-coincident. We will also say that an edge e is in-coincident with a cycle c of C_1 if e is in-coincident with some edge of c.

Cycle covers are a special case of b-matchings and therefore many notions and facts from matching theory will prove useful. Below we recall some of them. A sequence P of edges $(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ is said to be ***an alternating path (with respect to a given cycle cover C)*** if its edges alternately belong and do not belong to C, every two consecutive edges of P are coincident and for each $1 < i < k$ edge e_i is in-coincident with e_{i-1} and out-coincident with e_{i+1} or vice versa. An alternating path $P = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ such that exactly one of the edges e_1, e_k belongs to C and either e_k is in-coincident with e_{k-1} and out-coincident with e_1 or the other way round is called ***an alternating cycle (with respect to C)***. It can be observed that a symmetric difference $C_1 \oplus C_2 = (C_1 \setminus C_2) \cup (C_2 \setminus C_1)$ of two cycle covers C_1, C_2 of G consists of edge-disjoint alternating cycles (wrt. both C_1 and C_2). (See also [15] for example.)

Since C_{rel} is not always integral, we need to extend the definition of an alternating cycle. We do it as follows. Let C be any cycle cover of G. A sequence $P = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ is going to be called ***an***

alternating h-cycle (wrt. C) if e_1 and e_k are half-edges and otherwise P satisfies analogous conditions as an alternating path.

Wel also introduce the term of an alternating weight. Suppose that P is an alternating path or cycle (wrt. C), then ***the alternating weight (wrt. C) of*** P is defined as $\tilde{w}_C(P) = \sum_{e \in P \setminus C} w(e) - \sum_{e \in P \cap C} w(e)$. If C is a cycle cover of G and A an alternating cycle wrt. C, then by ***applying*** A ***to*** C we will mean the operation, whose result is $C \oplus A$. $C \oplus A$ is also a cycle cover of G and we have $w(C \oplus A) = w(C) + \tilde{w}_C(A)$. We can easily observe the following property of any alternating cycle with respect to C_{max}.

Fact 1 *Let A be any alternating cycle with respect to C_{max} . Then $\tilde{w}_{C_{max}}(A) \leq 0$.*

Proof. C_{max} is a maximum weight cycle cover of graph G and $C_{max} \oplus A$ is a cycle cover of G. Therefore $w(C_{max} \oplus A) \leq w(C_{max})$. Since $w(C \oplus A) = w(C) + \tilde{w}(A)$, we get that $\tilde{w}(A) \leq 0$. □

Both $C_{max} \oplus C_1$ and $C_{max} \oplus C_2$ disintegrate into alternating cycles and h-cycles, i.e. each edge of correspondingly $C_{max} \oplus C_1$ and $C_{max} \oplus C_2$ belongs to exactly one alternating cycle or h-cycle.
We will say that an alternating cycle A of $C_{max} \oplus C_1$ is ***necessary*** if $C_1 \oplus A$ contains a 2-cycle which also belongs to C_{max}.
We will say that an alternating cycle A of $C_{max} \oplus C_2$ is ***necessary*** if $C_2 \oplus A$ contains any of the cycles described in Definition 1.

It will be convenient for us to deal with relaxed cycle covers C_1 and C_2 such that both $C_{max} \oplus C_1$ and $C_{max} \oplus C_2$ contain only necessary alternating cycles and possibly alternating h-cycles.

Lemma 1 *Let C_1 be a relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max} such that $w(C_1) \geq OPT$ and let C_2 be a relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max} and C_1 such that $w(C_2) \geq OPT$. Then there exists a relaxed cycle cover C_1' improving C_{max} such that $w(C_1') \geq w(C_1)$ and every alternating cycle from $C_{max} \oplus C_1'$ is necessary. Similarly, there exists a relaxed cycle cover C_2' improving C_{max} and C_1' such that $w(C_2') \geq w(C_2)$ and every alternating cycle from $C_{max} \oplus C_2'$ is necessary.*

Because of the above lemma since this time on we will assume that every alternating cycle from $C_{max} \oplus C_1$ or $C_{max} \oplus C_2$ is necessary.

3.1 Coloring of G_1

We will now show how to color the edges of G_1 with colors from $\mathcal{K}_4$ in such a way that each color class consists of vertex-disjoint paths. (If it is not possible to color the whole G_1, then we leave problematic cycles uncolored.)

Let us first demonstate how we color the graph G_1 when C_1 is integral.

Formally, the coloring of G_1 is an assignment of colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$ to edges of G_1. Each edge of C_{max} is assigned one color and each edge of C_1 is assigned two colors. (If an edge belongs to $C_{max} \cap C_1$, then ,as a consequence, it is assigned three colors.) We say that a coloring of G_1 is ***partial*** if some of its edges have colors assigned to them (these edges are ***colored***) and some of them do not (these edges are ***uncolored***.) Still, in a partial coloring of G_1 an edge of C_1 either has two colors assigned to it or none. A (partial) coloring of G_1 is called ***good*** if each color class consists of vertex-disjoint paths

The idea behind coloring G_1 is that for each cycle of C_{max} we mark at least one of its edges, that is going to be colored with one of the colors of $\mathcal{K}_3$ and color the unmarked edges of C_{max} with 4. Whenever possible we want to color the edges of C_1 only with colors of $\mathcal{K}_3$. Each edge $e = (u, v)$ of C_1 has to be colored twice. Let e_1, e_2 be two edges of C_{max} that are coincident with e, i.e. they have the form $e_1 = (u, v'), e_2 = (u', v)$ (they may denote the same edge). Then, of course e cannot be

colored with the same color as e_1 or e_2. If e_1 is marked and we want to color it 1 and e_2 is unmarked, then e has to be colored with 2 and 3. If both e_1 and e_2 are marked and we want to color e_1 with 1 and e_2 with 2, then we have to use 4 for coloring e and color it with 3 and 4. On the other hand, if both e_1 and e_2 are marked and we want to color them with the same color, say 1 for example, then we have a choice and can color e with 2 and 3 or 2 and 4 or 3 and 4.

In a (partially) colored G_1 a cycle c is called ***monochromatic*** if there exists a color i of $\mathcal{K}_4$ such that each edge of c is colored i. Of course, if a (partial) coloring of G_1 is good, then G_1 does not contain any monochromatic cycles. We will say that an edge e is ***safe*** if no matter how we color the so far uncolored edges, it is guranteed not to belong to any monochromatic cycle. For example suppose that edge $e = (u, v) \in C_{max}$ is colored with color 1 and that edges $(z, u) \in C_{max}, (z', u) \in C_1$ are colored appropriately 2 and $3, 4$. Then clearly e is safe. An edge $e = (u, v) \in C_{max}$ will be called ***external*** if u and v belong to two different cycles of C_1. Otherwise it will be called ***internal***.

We state now the observation that will prove very useful in guaranteeing that we do not create monochromatic cycles.

Observation 1 *Suppose that edge $e = (u, v)$ colored 1 goes into cycle c of C_1 (i.e. u does not belong to c and v does). If there exists no edge $e' = (u', v')$ going out of c (u' is on c and v' is not) that is colored k, then e does not belong to any cycle, whose all edges are colored k. In other words, e is safe.*

We say that an edge e belonging to a cycle of C_1 is ***winged*** if all external edges coincident with it are marked. (An edge that has no external edges coincident with it is thus wingy as well.) For each cycle c of C_1 we will require that at least $|c| - 1$ of its edges are winged. ($|c|$ denotes the length of c.) An external marked edge e coincident with a cycle c is called ***a tail (of c)*** if all external edges coincident with c are marked or if e is coincident with an edge of c that is not winged. An external marked edge e coincident with a cycle c that is not its tail is a ***wing (of c)***. We also say that a cycle c is ***taily*** if c has exactly $2|c|$ external marked edges coincident with it.

Now, we are ready to give the definition of a nice set of marked edges.

Definition 2 *We say that a set of marked edges of C_{max} is **nice** if*

- *for each cycle c of C_1 at least $|c| - 1$ of its edges are winged,*
- *no edge of C_{max} is a tail of two different cycles of C_1,*
- *for each 2-cycle c of C_1, if c_1 has exactly one tail, then it also has a wing which is also a wing of another cycle of C_1.*

Lemma 2 *There exists an algorithm of marking the external edges of C_{max} in such a way that the resulting set is nice. The running time of the algorithm is $O(n)$.*

Next we are going to show the algorithm of coloring G_1 which consists of three phases. In Phase 1 we color all marked external edges in such a way that all of them are safe. For clarity, we first present Phase 1 and Phase 2 for the case when there are no taily cycles.

Phase 1 of Algorithm Color G_1

while there exists a cycle c of C_1 that has an uncolored tail or wing **do**

color all the uncol. marked external edges going into c with 1 and all the uncol. marked external edges going out of c with 2 or 3;

Lemma 3 *In Phase 1 of Algorithm Color G_1, after getting colored, a marked external edge e is safe.*

Proof. Let e denote any external marked edge. At some point, e gets colored together with all other so far uncolored external marked edges coincident with some cycle c of C_1. If at this point, c has no previously colored marked external edges coincident with it, then by Observation 1, e becomes safe, because we color all marked edges going into c with 1 and all marked edges going out of c with 2 or 3. If, on the other hand, c has some previously colored marked external edges coincident with it, then, by this proof, they are already safe. Thus, if e is going into c, then we color it with 1 and if there exists an edge e going out of c and colored 1, then it must have been colored previously and is safe. Therefore, e is safe as well. The case when e is going out of c is analogous. □

After Phase 1 all colored edges are safe but it may happen that there is no way of completing this coloring so that it is good. For example if a cycle c has $2c$ external edges coincident with it and all edges going into c are colored 1 and all edges going out of c are colored 2, then the only way of completing the current coloring is to color each edge of c with 3 and 4. This way we would create a cycle within the class of edges colored 3 and within the class of edges colored 4. We say that a cycle c' of G_1 is a ***cycle within a cycle*** c if every vertex lying on c' lies also on c. We say that a cycle c of C_1 is blocked if there is no way of completing the current partial coloring so that it does not contain a monochromatic cycle within c. An internal edge $e = (u, v)$ will be called ***quasiexternal*** if there exist external edges e_1, e_2 such that e_1 is incident with u and e_2 is incident with v.

Lemma 4 *A cycle c of C_1 can be blocked only if c satisfies one of the following:*

- *c has exactly $2|c|$ external edges coincident with it and the number of edges of c whose wings are colored the same plus the number of colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$ that occur on the external edges of c is less than 4,*
- *all external edges of c are colored with the same color,*
- *all external edges coincident with c are marked, all internal edges of c are quasiexternal and for each quasiexternal edge $e = (u, v)$ of c there exist two different colors i_1, i_2 of $\mathcal{K}_3$ such that an external edge of c incident with u is colored i_1, an external edge of c incident with v is colored i_2 and an edge of c that is coincident with e has an external marked edge coincident with it that is colored with a color i_3 belonging to $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus \{i_1, i_2\}$,*
- *c is problematic.*

Otherwise the current partial coloring can be completed in such a way that it does not contain a monochromatic cycle within c.

Let us notice that if a cycle c of C_1 is blocked and it is not taily, then we can change the coloring of its tail t to k, where k is such a color of $\mathcal{K}_3$ that no external edge incident with c is colored k, and, as a result, c will not be blocked any more and t will be safe. Roughly, this is what we are going to do in Phase 2 with blocked cycles that are not taily.

In Phase 2 we are going to change the coloring of some of the external marked edges in such a way that each colored edge is safe and there is no blocked cycle of C_1.

Phase 2 of Algorithm Color G_2

while there exists a blocked cycle of C_1 that is not taily

$c \leftarrow$ any blocked cycle of C_1 that is not taily

while c is blocked **do**

change the coloring of the tail t of c so that c is not blocked any more

t is also a wing of another cycle c' of C_1
if c' is blocked and is a 2-cycle, **then** we can change the coloring of its wings and a tail in such a way that we do not create a new blocked cycle
else if c' is blocked, **then** $c \leftarrow c'$

Lemma 5 *In Phase 2 of Algorithm Color G_1 each colored edge is safe at all times. After executing Phase 2, the graph G_1 does not contain a blocked cycle c of C_1, apart from problematic cycles. The running time of Phase 2 is $O(n^2)$.*

Proof. Let G_s denote the graph G_c in which every cycle of C_1 is shrunk into one vertex. All internal edges become loops in G_s and we remove them (from G_s). Thus, the edges of G_s contain all external edges. Let G_s^j denote the subgraph of G_s containing edges colored j. Let us observe that saying that saying that graph G_s^j is acyclic implies that all external marked edges colored j are safe. Before starting Phase 2 each graph G_s^j for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ is acyclic by Lemma 3 and the properties of Phase 1. When in Phase 2 of Algorithm Color G_1 we change the coloring of edge e from i_1 to i_2, we remove one edge from graph $G_s^{i_1}$ and add one edge to graph $G_s^{i_2}$. Removing an edge from an acyclic graph cannot make it non-acyclic. When we change the coloring of e into i_2, then one of the cycles of C_1 with which e is coincident has no other external edges coincident with it that are colored i_2. Therefore adding such an edge to $G_s^{i_2}$ cannot create a cycle there either.

If a cycle c is blocked, we change the coloring of its tail. Another time the coloring of some external edge coincident with c can change is when one of its wings changed a color. Then if c is not a 2-cycle, it cannot become blocked and if c is a 2-cycle, we are able to change the coloring of its wings and tail in such a way that we do not craete a new blocked cycle. This shows that the inner while loop in Phase 1 ends after visiting at most all cycles of C_1. □

Now, we show what to do with taily cycles. We say that a taily cycle c is ***favourable*** if there exitsts such an edge of c that the two tails of c coincident with e are wings of two different cycles of C_1. For each taily favourable cycle c of C_1 that is not a 2-cycle, we will be able to guarantee that it does not become blocked in Phase 1 and for each taily cycle c that is not favourable we will be able to guarantee that for each color k of $\mathcal{K}_3$ at least one of the tails of c is colored k.

Phase 1 of Algorithm Color G_1
while there exists a cycle c of C_1 that has an uncolored tail or wing **do**
  while there exists a taily favourable cycle c that has an edge with exactly one colored tail coincident with it
    let e be an edge of c such that exactly one of the edges e_1, e_2 coincident with it is colored;
    suppose that e_1 is colored k and is going into (out of) c;
    color all the uncol. external edges going into (out of) c with k and all the uncol. external edges going out of (into) c with one of the colors from $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus \{k\}$.

  while there exists a taily cycle c that is not favourable and whose at least one tail is colored
    suppose that one of the tails of c is colored k;
    let k_1, k_2 be the two colors from $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus \{k\}$;
    color all the uncol. external edges going into c with k_1 and all the uncol. external edges going out of c with k_2 or vice versa so that c has a tail colored with every color from $\mathcal{K}_3$.

  color all the uncol. marked external edges going into c with 1 and all the uncol. marked external edges going out of c with 2 or 3.

Lemma 6 *In Phase 1 of Algorithm Color G_1, after getting colored, a marked external edge e is safe. After the execution of Phase 1 no taily favourable cycle of C_1, which is not a 2-cycle, is blocked.*

Proof. The proof of the first part of the lemma goes through analogously as the proof of Lemma 3. The key observation is that at the step when we color all uncolored external edges incident with a given cycle c of C_1, we color all the so far uncolored edges going into c differently than the so far uncolored edges going out of c.

□

Part 2 of Phase 2 of Algorithm Color G_1
while there exists a blocked taily cycle c of C_1 **do**
if c is not a 2-cycle, then c has an edge such that if we change the color of one of the tails t of c coincident with e to 4, then c will cease to be blocked and a cycle c_1 of C_1 such that t is its wing will not become blocked;
if c is a 2-cycle, then we can change the coloring of its tails in such a way that c is not blocked any more and we do not create a new blocked cycle.

Lemma 7 *Part 2 of Phase 2 of Algorithm Color G_1 can be performed. Moreover, it can be performed in such a way that whenever an external edge changes color it becomes safe.*

Phase 3 of Algorithm Color G_1 is based on Lemma 4 and consists in completing the coloring in such a way that graph G_1 does not contain a monochromatic cycle within any cycle of C_1 and coloring all unmarked external edges 4.

We can observe that after the execution of Phase 3, G_1 does not contain a monochromatic cycle colored $1, 2$ or 3. It is so, because by Lemma 5, each external marked edge is safe and by Lemma 4, there is no monochromatic cycle within any cycle of C_1. We will now prove that G_1 cannot contain a monochromatic cycle colored 4 either. Let us notice that an edge $e \notin C_{max}$ can be colored 4 only if it belongs to C_1 and two edges of C_{max} coincident with it are marked. Therefore, the danger of creating a monochromatic cycle colored 4 exists on a cycle c of G_1 if every edge e of c is either an unmarked edge of C_{max} or has two marked edges coincident with it and c contains an external edge. Let us call such a cycle ***black***.

Lemma 8 *After the execution of Phase 3, the graph G_1 contains no black cycle.*

Proof. The existence of a black cycle in G_1 would imply the existence of an alternating cycle A of $C_{max} \oplus C_1$, which is not necessary. □

3.2 Coloring of G_2

The first part of coloring G_2 consists in coloring G_2' which consists of one copy of C_{max} and two copies of C_2. We color G_2' with colors from $\mathcal{K}_4' = \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ in a very similar way to coloring G_1. (In G_2' directed paths may end with half-edges of edges of a triangle or a 4-cycle of C_{max}.) It may happen that we cannot color the whole G_2' because it contains problematic cycle(s). Still, problematic cycles do not occur on the same set of vertices both in G_1 and G_2'. As a final step, we complete the coloring of G_1 and G_2' by 'borrowing' colors from one another.

For example, suppose that a triangle t belongs both to C_{max} and C_1 but none of its edges belongs to C_2. Notice that t needs to be colored four times in G_2. Then, if four edges of t are colored with colors from $\mathcal{K}_4'$, then the remaining eight edges of t can be colored with colors from $\mathcal{K}_4$.

4 Missing proofs

4.1 Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. $C_1 \oplus C_{max}$ can be decomposed into alternating cycles and h-cycles. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ denote all alternating cycles from $C_{max} \oplus C_1$ that are not necessary. Then $C_1' = C_1 \oplus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i$ is another relaxed cycle cover improving C_{max}. Moreover $C_1' \oplus C_{max}$ does not contain any alternating cycle that is not necessary. The weight of C_1' is equal to $w(C_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{w}(A_i)$. By Fact 1 the alternating (with respect to C_{max}) weight of A_i is nonpositive. Therefore the alternating with respect to C_1 weight of A_i is nonnegative. This means that $w(C_1') \geq w(C_1)$. The proof of the existence of C_2' is analogous. □

4.2 Proof of Lemma 4

Proof. We prove that if a cycle c of C_1 does not fall into any of the described five categories, then the current partial coloring can be completed in such a way that it does not contain a monochromatic cycle within c.

Case 1: c has no external edges.
For each cycle c' of C_{max} whose all edges are internal edges of c, we choose one of its edges and color it 1. We do it in such a way that if c' contains an edge belonging also to c, then we color such an edge with 1. If possible, we choose an edge e of c such that the edges e_1, e_2 of C_{max} belong to two different cycles of C_{max} and color e_1 and e_2 with 1 and e with 2 and 4. We then find one more edge of c that can be colored with 1 and 3 and we are done.

Case 2: c has external edges
For each edge e of c that has one colored edge e' coincident with it, we color e with colors belonging to $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus k$, where k is the color with which e is colored. For each edge e of c that has two differently colored edges e_1, e_2 coincident with it, we color e with colors from $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k_1, k_2\}$, where k_1, k_2 are the colors with which e_1, e_2 are colored. If edge e of c that has two colored edges e_1, e_2 coincident with it that are colored with the same color $k \in \mathcal{K}_3$, then if for each color $k \in \mathcal{K}_3$ there exists an edge $e \in C_{max}$ incident with c that is colored k, then we color e with $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus k$, otherwise we color it with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k, k'\}$, where k' is that color of $\mathcal{K}_3$ with which no edge of C_{max} coincident with c is colored.

If there exists a quasiexternal edge $e = (u, v)$ such that coloring it with 4 would result in creating a monochromatic cycle within c, then we color it with the color with which e_1 or e_2 ic colored, where e_1, e_2 are external edges incident appropriately with u or v. We try to do this in such a way that an edge e' of c coincident with e does not have two differently colored edges coincident with it.

Similarly, if there exists a path consisting of internal and uncolored edges of c such that if we colored all of the edges on this path with 4, we would create a monochromatic cycle within c, we color one of these edges with a color of $\mathcal{K}_3$.

If a colored edge e of c is such that at some point a so far uncolored edge coincident with it gets colored, then we may have to change the coloring of e in the way described above.

If there is no cycle of C_{max}, that consists solely of uncolored and internal edges of c, then we complete the coloring by coloring the uncolored internal edges of c with 4 and the uncolored edges of c with colors from $\mathcal{K}_3$. Otherwise we continue the coloring in the way shown below.

Case 2a: For each color $k \in \mathcal{K}_3$ there exists an edge $e \in C_{max}$ incident with c that is colored k

We proceed as follows. Let c' be any cycle of C_{max}, whose all edges are internal edges of c. Let $e = (u, v)$ be any edge of c'. We can notice that it is always possible to color e with one of the colors of $\mathcal{K}_3$ so that e does not belong to a monochromatic cycle within c. It is so because an edge e_3 of c going into u is colored with at most two colors of $\mathcal{K}_3$. It may happen that coloring e with such a color forces

edges e_1, e_2 of c coincident with e to be colored with 4 and as a result we could create a monochromatic cycle colored 4 that contains the uncolored edges of c'. It is possible only if both e_1 and e_2 has an edge coincident with it that is colored with a color of $\mathcal{K}_3$ different from k. Let $e' = (v', u)$ be an edge of c' and let $e_4 = (v, u')$ be an edge of c.
Case i Both e_3 and e_4 has an edge coincident with it that is colored k and e_1 has an edge coincident with it that is colored $k' \neq k$. Then we color e with k', e' with k, e_1 and e_3 with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k, k'\}$ and e_4 with $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus k$. We color all the remaining uncolored edges of c' with 4. This way, all the edges of c' and edges e_1, e_3, e_4 are safe.
Case ii e_3 (or e_4) has an edge coincident with it that is colored k and e_1 has an edge coincident with it that is also colored k. Then we color e with k and e_1 and e_3 (or e_1 and e_4) with $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus k$.

Case 2b: There exists a color $k'' \in \mathcal{K}_3$ such that exists no edge $e \in C_{max}$ incident with c is colored k''

Each cycle of C_{max} but one whose all edges are internal edges of c is processed as in the case 2a above. Let c' be the last cycle of C_{max} such that all its edges are so far uncolored and are internal edges of c. Let $e = (u, v), e' = (v', u)$ be any two edges of c', e_1, e_2 two edges of c coincident with e and $e_3 = (v_2, u), e_4 = (v, u_2)$ edges of c.
Case i Both e_1 and e_2 has an edge coincident with it that is colored k and e_1 has an edge coincident with it that is also colored k. Then we color e with k, e_3 and e_2 with $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus k$ and e_1 with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k, k''\}$. This way we have guaranteed that at least one edge of c is nor colored with k''.
Case ii There is no edge e of c' that fullfills the conditions of case i above and c' is a 2-cycle. Then either (1) both e_1 and e_2 has an edge coincident with it that is colored k and e_3 and e_4 has an edge coincident with it that is colored $k' \neq k$ or (2) edges coincident with e_1 and e_2 are colored differently (with colors k and k') and the same for edges coincident with e_3 and e_4. In the first case we color e with k, e' with k', e_1 and e_3 with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k, k'\}$, e_2 with $\mathcal{K}_3 \setminus k$ and e_4 with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k', k''\}$. In the second case we color e with k, e' with k', three of the edges of e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k, k'\}$ and one of them appropriately with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k, k''\}$ or with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k', k''\}$.
Case iii There is no edge e of c' that fullfills the conditions of case i above and c' has more than two edges. We consider three edges e, e', e'' of cycle c' and proceed similarly as above ensuring that there exists an edge colored with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k', k''\}$ or with $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k, k''\}$ and an edge of c incident with e, e' or e'' not colored with 4. □

4.3 Proof of Lemma 7

Proof. Let c be a blocked taily cycle of C_1 that has more than 2-edges. Then for each color k of $\mathcal{K}_3$ there exists a tail of c colored k. Let e be any edge of c and e_1, e_2 tails of c coincident with e. Since c is not favourable, we know that there exists a cycle c' of C_1 such that both e_1 and e_2 are wings of c'. Moreover, e_1, e_2 are incident to two different edges e_3, e_4 of c'. (It is so because otherwise $C_{max} \oplus C_1$ would contain an alternating cycle (consisting of four edges) that is not necessary.) Let us notice that if c' does not have a tail, then it cannot become blocked if we color e_1 or e_2 with 4. Thus c' has $2|c'|$ external edges incident with it and is not a 2-cycle. If changing the color of e_1 to 4 causes c' to become blocked and the same for e_2, then it means that after coloring e_1 with 4, the wings of e_1 become colored diffeently or the number of colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$ occurring on the external edges incident with c' descreases. In such a situation we can change the coloring of the tail t of c'. The tail t is incident to some edge of c' and some edge e' belonging to another cycle c''. e' is colored with two colors k_1, k_2 of $\mathcal{K}_4$. Therefore t can be colored with the color of $\mathcal{K}_4$ with which it is now colored or another color

k' belonging to $\mathcal{K}_4 \setminus \{k_1, k_2\}$. Let us notice that coloring t with k' will ensure that c' is not blocked. Also, after coloring t with k', t is safe, because either c' has no other external edges incident with it that are colored k' or $k' = 4$, which is also fine.

□

5 Coloring of G_1, when C_1 is not integral

When C_1 is not integral, it contains half-edges. Half-edges can occur in C_1 only on the edges that form 2-cycles of C_{max}. Moreover, if C_1 contains a half-edge h_1 of edge $e = (u, v)$, then e belongs to a 2-cycle of C_{max} and C_1 contains also a half-edge h_2 of $e' = (v, u)$ and one of these half-edges is incident with u and the other with v. Thus, either $h_1 = (u, x_{(u,v)})$ and $h_2 = (v, x_{(v,u)})$, or $h_1 = (x_{(u,v)}, v)$ and $h_2 = (x_{(v,u)}, u)$. We call edges (u, v) and (v, u) **halfy**. Suppose that $h_1 = (u, x_{(u,v)})$. Then $C_{max} \oplus C_1$ contains an alternating h-cycle of the form $(h'_1 = (x_{(u,v)}, v), (v_1, v), (v_1, v_2), \ldots, h_3 = (v_k, x_{(v_k,v_{k+1})}))$. (Notice that $h_1 \in C_{max} \cap C_1$ and $(u, v) \in C_{max}$, hence $h'_1 \in C_{max} \oplus C_1$.) Here an edge (v_1, v_2) (belonging to C_{max}) is called an ***antenna of*** $e = (u, v)$. If an alternating h-cycle containing h'_1 has the form $(h'_1 = (x_{(u,v)}, v), (v_1, v), h_3 = (v_1, x_{(v_1,v_2)}))$, then edge $e = (v_1, v_2)$ is an antenna of $e = (u, v)$. We say that an edge of C_{max} is an antenna of a 2-cycle c of C_{max}, if it is an antenna of one of the edges that form c. Antennas of a 2-cycle are illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4: A 2-cycle$c$ on vertices v_1, v_2 belongs to C_{max}. a_1 and a_2 are the two antennas of c. Thick (half-)edges belong to C_1, thin ones to C_{max}.

We can notice that in a good coloring of G_1 one of the edges (u, v) or (v, u) will have to be colored with two colors of $\mathcal{K}_3$. Suppose that it is edge (u, v) that we want to color with two colors of $\mathcal{K}_3$. Since edge (v_1, v) of C_1 must be colored with two colors, we will have to use 4 for coloring it and hence an edge of C_{max} that goes out of v_1 will have to be colored with a color different from 4. In other words, if we want to color edge (u, v) with two colors of $\mathcal{K}_3$, then its antenna must also be colored with a color of $\mathcal{K}_3$. Since we have previously assumed that only marked edges from C_{max} get colored with a color of $\mathcal{K}_3$, it means that if we mark one of the edges of a 2-cycle of C_{max}, then we also mark its antenna. Therefore, as long as at least one of the antennas of a 2-cycle $c \in C_{max}$ is marked, then we can also mark an appropriate edge of c – the one whose antenna is marked.

When C_1 is not integral, it contains at least two directed paths. Each directed path of C_1 ends and begins with a half-edge. We extend the terminology given before as follows. We say that an edge $e = (u, v)$ of C_{max} is **external** if e is not halfy and u and v belong to two different cycles of C_1 or two different paths of C_1 or one of the vertices u, v belongs to a path of C_1 and the other to a cycle of C_1. An edge of C_{max} which is not external and is not halfy, is called **internal**.

Again we mark a certain subset of external edges.

The extension of the definition of a nice set of marked edges is the following.

Definition 3 *We say that a set of marked edges of C_{max} is **nice** if*

- *for each cycle c of C_1 at least $|c| - 1$ of its edges are winged,*
- *no edge of C_{max} is a tail of two different cycles of C_1,*
- *for each 2-cycle c of C_1, if c_1 has exactly one tail, then it also has a wing which is also a wing of another cycle of C_1,*
- *at least one antenna of each 2-cycle is marked,*
- *for each path p of C_1 at least $|p| - 1$ of its edges are winged (by $|p|$ we mean the number of whole edges contained in p).*

Lemma 9 *There exists an algorithm of marking the external edges of C_{max} in such a way that the resulting set is nice. The running time of the algorithm is $O(m)$.*

We can notice the following simple fact about the possibility of a path of C_1 to be blocked, where we say that a path p of C_1 is blocked if there is no way of completing the current coloring in such a way that we do not craete a monochromatuc cycle within p.

Fact 2 *If in the current coloring no edge of a path p of C_1 is colored and none of its internal edges, then p is not blocked.*

Lemma 10 *There exists an algorithm of coloring the marked external edges such that after its execution, each colored edge is safe, no cycle of C_1 is blocked and two antennas of the same 2-cycle c of C_1 are colored differently.*

This algorithm is almost the same as the one presented for the integral case.

6 Coloring of G_2

It remains to show

Lemma 11 *Coloring of G_2 can be completed on problematic subgraphs.*

Proof.

Let us begin with a problematic triangle. All other cases are similar. (Moreover, it is not hard to write a computer program that would check the correctness of this lemma.)

If t is a problematic triangle and C_2 contains some half-edges of edges of t, then we can distinguish the following cases:

- there are four half-edges (two ones are contained in the edges of a 2-cycle such that one of its edges belongs to t, the other two share a vertex belonging to the remaining third vertex of t),

- the two half-edges share a vertex and are both oriented as the edges of t that contain them
- the two half-edges share a vertex and are both oppositely oriented to the edges of t that contain them
- the common vertex of the edges containing the half-edges belongs to none of the half-edge and none, one or two of the edges containg these half-edges are oppositely oriented to the appropriate edges of t,
- the common vertex of the edges containing the half-edges belongs to exactly one half-edge and none, one or two of the egses containing the half-edges are oppositely oriented to the appropriate edges of t.

Let us consider the case when the common vertex of the edges containing the half-edges belongs to exactly one half-edge and both of them are oppositely oriented to the edges of t. Suppose that t consists of edges t_1, t_2, t_3, the half-edges are contained in edges t_4, t_5, e_1, e_2, e_4, e_4 are the four egdes of C_2 incident with vertices of t and e'_1, e'_2, e'_3, e'_4 are edges of C_{max} coincident with edges $e_1, \ldots, e_4$. The situation is depicted in Figure 5. Let us first assume that e'_4 is the only edge of G'_2 that is coincident with e_4 and incident with vertex v_4. Similarly let us assume that e'_3 is the only edge of G'_2 that is coincident with e_3 and incident with vertex v_5. Let e_1 be colored with colors a and b from $\mathcal{K}'_4$, let e'_4 be colored with a' and e'_5 with b'. Let $\{c, d\}$ denote $\mathcal{K}'_4 \setminus \{a, b\}$.

Case 1: It is not true that both e_1 and e_2 are colored with b'.
If $b' \in \{c, d\}$, then we color t_4 and t_5 with b' and t_1 with the other color from $\{c, d\}$. Otherwise we color t_4 and t_5 with c and t_1 with d.
If $b' \notin \{c, d\}$, then we color t_2 with b'. Otherwise we color t_2 with a or b depending on how e_2 is colored. If e_2 is colored with a and b, then we change its coloring so that it is colored with a and one of the colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$ (or with a and one of the colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$).
If both e_4 and e_2 are colored with c, d, then we color t_3 with a', e_4 with c and a color belonging to $\{a, b\} \setminus \{a'\}$ and change the coloring of e_2 so that it is colored with d and one of the colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$. Otherwise we color t_3 with the same color as t_2, color e_4 with the appropriate two colors (i.e. not containing a' or the color of t_3) and if e_2 and e_4 share one of the colors of $\{c, d\}$, then we remove that color from the coloring of e_2 and replace it with one of the colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$.

Let us notice that all the edges $t_1, \ldots, t_5, e_1, \ldots, e_4$ are safe and are colored an appropriate number of times as regards graph G'_2. However graph G_1 contains three copies of triangle t and they cannot be colored with colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$. If, however, we manage to color one of the edges of t with a color of $\mathcal{K}'_4$, then the rest of the edges of the three copies of t can be colored with colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$.

When we want to replace one of the colors of e_2 with a color of $\mathcal{K}_4$, then it can be done if G_1 does not contain four edges going into v_6. G_1 contains four edges going into v_6 only if G_1 contains a 2-cycle going through v_6, a half-edge going out of v_6 and an edge of C_2 going into v_6. Such a situation can be avoided by swapping the edges and half-edges between C_1 and C_2. Therefore we will assume that such a situation does not arise at v_6, v_5 or v_4.

Now, to be able to color the three copies of t with colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$, we need to color one of the edges of t with a color of $\mathcal{K}'_4$ and this must be done in addition to the coloring we have already conducted, i.e. one of the edges of t should be colored with two colors of $\mathcal{K}'_4$. We can notice that we can color additionally t_2 or t_3 with a or b. Which one we choose depends on the following. Let us assume that e_2 is colored with a color k_1 of $\mathcal{K}_4$. In G_1 each of the vertices v_5 and v_4 has three edges going out of them, meaning that both e_4 and e_5 can be colored with remaining of the colors of $\mathcal{K}_4$. It may happen that that remaining color of $\mathcal{K}_4$ is the same and it is k_1. Then we must be careful so as not to create a cycle colored k_1 within G_2. We can notice that if e_3 is colored k_1, then within G_1 t_3 and t_1 will also have to be colored with k_1 and if we color e_4 with k_1, then we will have to color t_4 and t_5 with k_1



Figure 5: A triangle t contains edges t_1, t_2, t_3. Edges t_4 and t_5 contain half-edges belonging to C_1. Thick (half-)edges belong to C_1, thin ones to C_{max}.

(and then t_3 and t_1 are both colored with two colors of $\mathcal{K}'_4$). Still, one of these options does not create a cycle colored k_1 as it cannot be the case that G_1 contains two paths colored k_1: one beginning at v_6 and ending at v_4 and the other beginning at v_6 and ending at v_5.

Case 2: Both e_1 and e_2 are colored with b'.
W.l.o.g. we may assume that $b' = b$, e_3 is colored c, d and e_2 is not colored with d. We color t_4 with b, t_5 with d and t_2 and t_3 with a. If e_2 is colored with a, then we remove a from its coloring and replace it with a color of $\mathcal{K}_4$. We color e_4 with two colors of $\mathcal{K}'_4 \setminus \{a, a'\}$. If both e_2 and e_4 are colored with c, then we remove it from the coloring of e_2 and replace with a color of $\mathcal{K}'_4$. Additionally we will either color t_2 with c or t_3 with d.

If e_3 (or e_4) has two edges coincident with it at v_5 (corr. at v_4), then we proceed analogously and replace one of the colors from the coloring of e_3 with an appropriate coloring of $\mathcal{K}_4$. It may happen that it is not possible, because both G_1 and G_2 contains four edges going out of v_5 but then each color with which it is colored is safe, moreover there is a flexibility in chhosing the pair of volors with which e_3 can be colored.

□

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Khaled Elbassioni and Anke van Zuylen for many helpful discussions and Bartek Rybicki for reading the preliminary version of this paper.

References

[1] Chris Armen and Clifford Stein. Improved Length Bounds for the Shortest Superstring Problem. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures, WADS '95,*, volume 955 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 494–505. Springer 1995.

[2] Chris Armen and Clifford Stein. A $2\frac{2}{3}$-Approximation Algorithm for the Shortest Superstring Problem. In *Proceedings of the 7th Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching, CPM '96*, volume 1075 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 87–101. Springer 1996.

[3] Markus Bläser. An 8/13-approximation algorithm for the asymmetric maximum TSP. *J. Algorithms*, 50(1):23–48, 2004.

[4] Markus Bläser and Bodo Manthey. Two approximation algorithms for 3-cycle covers. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization*, volume 2462 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 40–50. Springer, 2002.

[5] Avrim Blum and Tao Jiang and Ming Li and John Tromp and Mihalis Yannakakis. Linear Approximation of Shortest Superstrings. *J. ACM*, 41(4):630–647, 1994.

[6] Dany Breslauer, Tao Jiang, and Zhigen Jiang. Rotations of periodic strings and short superstrings. *J. Algorithms*, 24(2):340–353, 1997.

[7] Artur Czumaj and Leszek Gasieniec and Marek Piotrów and Wojciech Rytter. Sequential and Parallel Approximation of Shortest Superstrings. *J. Algorithms*, 23(1):74–100, 1997.

[8] M. L. Fisher, G. L. Nemhauser, and L. A. Wolsey. An analysis of approximations for finding a maximum weight Hamiltonian circuit. *Oper. Res.*, 27(4):799–809, 1979.

[9] Haim Kaplan, Moshe Lewenstein, Nira Shafrir, and Maxim Sviridenko. Approximation algorithms for asymmetric tsp by decomposing directed regular multigraphs. *J. ACM*, 52(4):602–626, 2005. Preliminary version appeared in FOCS'03.

[10] S. Rao Kosaraju, James K. Park, and Clifford Stein. Long tours and short superstrings (preliminary version). In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 166–177, 1994.

[11] Lukasz Kowalik and Marcin Mucha. Deterministic 7/8-approximation for the metric maximum tsp. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 410(47-49):5000–5009, 2009.

[12] Lukasz Kowalik and Marcin Mucha. 35/44-approximation for asymmetric maximum tsp with triangle inequality. *Algorithmica*, 59(2):240–255, 2011.

[13] Moshe Lewenstein and Maxim Sviridenko. A 5/8 approximation algorithm for the maximum asymmetric tsp. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 17(2):237–248, 2003.

[14] M. Li. Towards a DNA sequencing theory. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 125–134, 1990.

[15] L.Lovasz, M. D.Plummer. Matching Theory. 1986.

[16] Marcin Mucha. Lyndon words and short superstrings. *SODA 2013*.

[17] Katarzyna E. Paluch, Marcin Mucha, and Aleksander Madry. A 7/9 - approximation algorithm for the maximum traveling salesman problem. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization*, volume 5687 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 298–311. Springer, 2009.

[18] Katarzyna E. Paluch and Khaled M. Elbassioni and Anke van Zuylen. Simpler Approximation of the Maximum Asymmetric Traveling Salesman Problem. In *Proceedings of the 29th Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, STACS'2012*, Leibniz International Proceedings of Informatics 14, pages 501–506, 2012.

[19] Christos H. Papadimitriou and Mihalis Yannakakis. The traveling salesman problem with distances one and two. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 18:1–11, 1993.

[20] Z. Sweedyk. A $2\frac{1}{2}$-Approximation Algorithm for Shortest Superstring. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 29(3):954–986, 1999.

[21] Jorma Tarhio and Esko Ukkonen. A greedy approximation algorithm for constructing shortest common superstrings. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 57:131–145, 1988.

[22] Shang-Hua Teng and F. Frances Yao. Approximating Shortest Superstrings. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 26(2): 410–417, 1997.